Approved For Release 2005/08/22 : CIA-RDP85T00875R001900020080-8

Approved For Release 2005/08/22 : CIA-RDP85T00875R001900020080-8

1	CIA/OER/5-06097-74	5-6097
TAT		
	TRANSMITTAL SLIP DATE 17 April 1974  TO: St/P/C  ROOM NO. BUILDING  REMARKS: S-6097  Soviet Borrowing from the West  An advance copy was LDX'ed to	
	FORM NO. BUILDING 4G31 Hqs  FORM NO. 241 REPLACES FORM 36-5 WHICH MAY BE USED.	STAT

Approved For Release 2005/08/22 :01A-RDP85T00875R001900020080-8

10

### Soviet Borrowing from the West

### Background

Prior to 1964 the USSR was unable to get anything better than medium-term credits (1-5 years) from the West, but in 1964 the United Kingdom broke with the Berne Union guidelines, which called for a five-year ceiling on export credits to Communist countries, and provided the USSR with a \$280 million line of credit with a repayment period of up to 15 years. Competition soon forced all other West European nations and Japan to also drop the Berne Union accord, and long-term credits (averaging 8 years) soon became the rule (see Table 1).

The Soviets were quick to use their good credit rating and their ability to channel large contracts to desired suppliers to foster credit competition among potential suppliers. As a result the French, Italians, and Japanese have all provided especially preferential credits in support of Soviet purchases. The United Kingdom extended large credit lines to the USSR at normally competitive rates, but the West German government has yet to subsidize export credits in support of Soviet purchases.

The US played a very minor role in financing Soviet trade until 1972, and reported claims of US banks and other businesses

25X



against the USSR prior to 1972 were \$10 million or less annually, all on a short-term basis. Soviet purchases of US equipment and technology from US-based firms were limited, as a result, to those items not obtainable elsewhere. However, US subsidiaries in Europe and Japan which are able to utilize government subsidized credits did a substantial amount of Soviet business. In some instances, the USSR was able to secure foreign government-backed credits which covered purchases of US-produced goods required for larger projects being undertaken by the USSR and its European partners. In 1967, for example, the Soviets prevailed upon the Italians to provide a \$25-30 million loan to cover Soviet purchases of certain equipment and technology from US-based firms in support of the Soviet-Italian construction of the FIAT plant at Tolyattigrad.

#### The Emergence of the US

With the beginning of detente, however, the US quickly became a major supplier of credit to the USSR. In 1972-1973, US commercial banks acting without Eximbank participation provided \$108 million in long-term loans (see Table 2) to cover Soviet purchases of tractors and pipelaying equipment. Since the opening of the US Eximbank window in the fall of 1972, Eximbank has approved \$290 million in long-term credits in

support of \$743 million in Soviet imports. In addition, Eximbank has given preliminary commitments of \$198 million in support of an additional \$440 million in potential US exports. Soviet requests for further Eximbank credits, e.g., \$49 million for exploration of natural gas reserves at Yakutsk, are currently under consideration. The USSR also drew down \$500 million in three-year CCC credits during 1972 and 1973 to cover a portion of the \$1.8 billion in Soviet grain purchases from the US during this period.

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/08/22 : CIA-RDP85T00875R001900020080-8

### Debt Composition

The Soviet debt structure has continued to lengthen during recent years. During the late 1960's the length of credits extended to the USSR averaged 8 years, but longer credits are now being offered with increasing frequency. The increased credit lengths are due, in part, to the type of goods the USSR is seeking to import as well as to the increased competition among Western nations to provide credits to the The USSR has requested credits of over ten years with payments deferred for several years to support imports of plant and equipment for the large scale development projects Tyumen oil, Chulman coal, Yakutsk natural gas -- currently being discussed with Western firms. The US has proven to be particularly accommodating to Soviet requests, as evidenced by \$154 million in Eximbank direct credits granted to support Soviet purchases for the Kama river truck plant. case the USSR secured a 12 year credit, with payments of principal deferred until 1977. Recent British and French credit lines, in contrast, have a maximum repayment period of roughly 10 years.

#### Other Sources of Funds

The USSP has also made increasing use of the Eurodollar market in financing its trade with the West. Operating through

its European banks, the USSR has been able to attract Eurocurrency deposits at prime rates. The USSR made particularly
good use of this source in 1972 -- tapping the Eurodollar market for several hundred million dollars in both medium-term
and short-term credit to finance imports of machinery and
grain. The burden of these loans was considerably lightened
by subsequent dollar devaluations and the ability of the USSR
to sell large amounts of high-priced gold in 1973.

## Soviet Debt and Debt Service

The increased use of medium-term and long-term Western credits has led to a rapid increase in the USSR's debt to the West, which reached an estimated \$3.6 billion by the end of 1973 (see Table 3). The actual burden of the debt is a function of debt service, the percentage of total hard currency exports committed to the annual repayment of interest and principal on outstanding credits. The Soviet position in this respect has also worsened, however, rising from 11% in 1967 to roughly 25% in 1973. The debt service ratio would have been even larger had the USSR not been able to obtain Western credits at such favorable terms.

Table 3

				Million US
Year .	Drawings	Scheduled Repayments Principal and Interest	Net Credits	Outstanding Debt at the End of the Year
1966	275	170	105	505
1967	305	181	124	658
L968	510	. 255	255	951
1969	630	322	309	1,316
L970	700	379	321	
1971	700	463	237	2,057
19724/	1,030	562	468	2,619
1973 <u>8/</u> <u>b</u> /	1,690	895 3-year CCC cre	795	3,612

# Prospects

Preliminary.

Soviet orders for Western plant and equipment have risen sharply in recent years, reaching more than \$2½ billion in 1973, up from about \$800 million two years earlier. Long-term Soviet debt should rise substantially as these orders are filled and as other purchases are made. If the Soviets are successful in concluding some of the developmental projects currently under discussion, long-term debt could approach \$10 billion by the end of the decade.

The ability of the USSR to manage this larger debt, however, will be significantly enhanced by the record increases in Soviet export earnings which are expected during the next two or three years. Major price increases for traditional Soviet raw material exports -- oil, coal, timber, diamonds, chemicals -- along with expanded deliveries of natural gas could push total Soviet exports to the developed West to perhaps \$6 billion in 1974 and \$7 billion in 1975, giving the USSR substantial export surpluses. In contrast, Soviet hard currency export earnings were about \$2.7 billion in 1972. As a result, by 1975 debt service -- depending on prices -- would be no greater than in 1973, and perhaps even less. In the longer term the outlook is less favorable, largely decause of an expected leveling off and eventual decline in the volume of crude oil exported to the West during 1977-1980.

A rapid increase in export earnings may affect Soviet debt management practices. As a demonstration of the anticipated strong Soviet financial condition, the USSR recently concluded a major deal with West German firms calling for a cash payment of roughly \$800 million in plant and equipment for a pelletization plant and steel mill at Kursk. The Soviet decision to pay cash was partly motivated by the refusal of the West Germans to provide low interest credits, but it is unlikely that the USSR would have had such an alternative even a year ago. The improved Soviet financial position will

clearly enhance the USSR's bargaining position <u>vis-a-vis</u>
Western suppliers, and thereby increase its ability to play
off potential suppliers against one another.